

Fitness to Practise Panels week beginning 4 April 2005

Fitness to Practise Panel

Session beginning 5 April 2005 (Panel 5)

Chamber 1, Regent's Place, 350 Euston Road, London NW1 3JN

This case will be considered by a Fitness to Practise Panel applying the General Medical Council's Preliminary Proceedings Committee and Professional Conduct Committee (Procedure) Rules 1988

A Fitness to Practise Panel will meet at Regent's Place, 350 Euston Road, London, NW1 3JN to review one case previously heard by the Professional Conduct Committee.

Case No. 1

Area of Practice: London

Type of Case: Review Hearing

The Panel will review the case of Joseph Musuuza Maalo, whose registration is subject to conditions until 29 April 2005 in consequence of a direction by the Professional Conduct Committee in March 2004.

Dr Maalo's registration was previously suspended for a period of 12 months in consequence of a direction of the Professional Conduct Committee in April 2003.

On 26 March 2002, at Southwark Crown Court, Mr Maalo was convicted upon indictment of conspiracy to defraud.

Fitness to Practise Panel

Session beginning 4 April 2005 (Panel 1)

Hearing Room 2, Regent's Place, 350 Euston Road,
London NW1 3JN

This case will be considered by a Fitness to Practise Panel applying the General Medical Council's Preliminary Proceedings Committee and Professional Conduct Committee (Procedure) Rules 1988

The Fitness to Practise Panel will meet at Regent's Place, 350 Euston Road, London NW1 3JN, to consider a new case of conduct referred for inquiry by the Preliminary Proceedings Committee.

Case No. 1

Area of Practice: Croydon, London

Type of Case: Alleged serious professional misconduct

The Panel will inquire into allegations that Victor Oguntolu, a Senior House Officer, acted in a way that was inappropriate, unprofessional and dishonest, when falsifying details on an Accident and Emergency Card, a General Medical Council application form and a number of Trust prescriptions.

It is further alleged that Dr Oguntolu's conduct was inappropriate, unprofessional and not in the best interests of his patients, with regard to him not attending to

an elderly patient after agreeing to do so and failing to prepare and present an audit as part of his training.