

21 February 2005

Fitness to Practise Panels week beginning 21 February 2005

Please note: The Fitness to Practise panel has agreed to a postponement of Dr Dar's hearing which was scheduled to be heard on 18 February. A date has not yet been set for the rescheduled hearing.

Fitness to Practise Panel

Session beginning 21 February 2005 (Panel 1)

Committee Room 2, 44 Hallam Street, London W1W 6JJ

This case will be considered by a Fitness to Practise Panel applying the General Medical Council's Preliminary Proceedings Committee and Professional Conduct Committee (Procedure) Rules 1988

The Fitness to Practise Panel will meet at 44 Hallam Street, London W1W 6JJ, to consider one new case of conduct referred for inquiry by the Preliminary Proceedings Committee.

Case No. 1

Area of Practice: Whistable, Kent

Type of Case: Alleged serious professional misconduct

The Panel will inquire into allegations that Mohamed Bahgat Shar, a General Practitioner, behaved in a manner that was indecent and inappropriate towards both a patient and a colleague.

It is further alleged that Dr Shar, following the submission by the patient of a complaint to the local Health Authority, acted in way which had the potential to harass the patient.

Fitness to Practise Panel

Session beginning 21 February 2005 (Panel 1)

St James's Building, 79 Oxford Street, Manchester, M1 6FQ

This case will be considered by a Fitness to Practise Panel applying the General Medical Council's Preliminary Proceedings Committee and Professional Conduct Committee (Procedure) Rules 1988

The Fitness to Practise Panel will meet at St James's Building, 79 Oxford Street, Manchester, M1 6FQ, to consider a new case of conduct referred for inquiry by the Preliminary Proceedings Committee

Case No. 1

Area of Practice: County Durham

Type of Case: New Case of Conduct

The Panel will inquire into allegations that Dr Richard Abbott, a General Practitioner, neither disclosed nor discussed with his practice staff, the fact that he knew that his

daughter, who had commenced employment at the practice, had a criminal conviction for deception.

It is also alleged that Dr Abbott became aware that his daughter was in possession of prescription tablets/packaging not issued in her name, but he did not take appropriate action to deal with this matter.

It is further alleged that Dr Abbott inappropriately prescribed medication for his daughter, whilst failing to make any records of the consultations/reasons that led to these prescriptions, or taking any adequate steps to ensure that his daughter registered with a General Practitioner outside the family.

It is alleged that Dr Abbot's actions were inappropriate, irresponsible, unprofessional and not in the best interests of the patients or the practice.