


electric and magnetic fields



the facts

Electricity plays a central role in the quality of life we now enjoy. In particular, many of the dramatic improvements in health and safety that we benefit from today could not have happened without a reliable and affordable electricity supply.

Electric and magnetic fields (EMFs) are present wherever electricity is used.

But could electricity be bad for our health?

Do these fields cause cancer or any other disease?

These are important and serious questions which have been investigated in depth during the past three decades. Over £300 million has been spent investigating this issue around the world.

Research still continues to seek greater clarity; however, the balance of scientific evidence to date suggests that EMFs do not cause disease.

This guide, produced by the UK electricity industry, summarises the background to the EMF issue, explains the research undertaken with regard to health and discusses the conclusion reached.

Electric and Magnetic Fields

Electric and magnetic fields (EMFs) are produced both naturally and as a result of human activity. The earth has both a magnetic field (produced by currents deep inside the molten core of the planet) and an electric field (produced by electrical activity in the atmosphere, such as thunderstorms).

Electric and magnetic fields are inherent in the laws of physics.

Wherever electricity is used there will also be electric and magnetic fields. This is inherent in the laws of physics - we can modify the fields to some extent, but if we are going to use electricity, then EMFs are inevitable.

Like many other things that we encounter in nature, EMFs can be harmful at high-enough levels. But the fields required, for example, to start interfering with the body's nervous system are much greater than those produced by the UK electricity system.

Fields of Different Frequency

A key characteristic of a field is the frequency. The frequency indicates how rapidly the field changes direction backwards and forwards, and is measured in hertz (Hz). The earth's magnetic and electric fields do not oscillate at all. They are known as 'static fields' and have a frequency of 0 hertz.

This guide does not cover TV, radio or mobile phones.

The electricity systems in the UK and the rest of Europe produce fields of 50 hertz; in North America the frequency is 60 hertz. It is these fields produced by the electricity system (known as 'extremely low frequency' (ELF) or 'power frequency' fields) that are discussed in this guide.

Other technologies use higher frequencies. For instance, TV and radio broadcasts operate at thousands or millions of hertz, while mobile phones transmissions are at around a billion hertz. Because these frequencies are so different and the science of the fields and their effects at those frequencies is also different, this guide does not cover those technologies.

The Difference Between Electric and Magnetic Fields

The Two Components

The term 'EMFs' encompasses two different though related concepts: electric fields and magnetic fields.

Electric Fields

Electric fields are produced by voltage. Voltage is the pressure behind the flow of electricity. It can be likened to the pressure of water in a hose. Electricity in UK homes is at a voltage of 230 volts (V), but outside homes it is distributed at higher voltages, from 11,000 volts (usually written 11kV) up to 400,000 volts (400kV). Generally, the higher the voltage, the higher the electric field. Electric fields are measured in volts per metre (V/m).

Voltage can be likened to the pressure of water in a hose.

Magnetic Fields

Magnetic fields are produced by current, which is the flow of electricity. Current, which is measured in amperes or amps, can be likened to the flow of water in a hose when the nozzle is open. Generally, the higher the current, the higher the magnetic field. Magnetic fields are measured in microteslas (μT).

Current can be likened to the flow of water when the nozzle is open.

Other Differences

One difference between electric and magnetic fields is that electric fields are very easily screened - by buildings, hedges, fences and trees. So inside a house there will be very little electric field from a power line outside. By contrast, magnetic fields pass readily through most buildings.

Another difference is that a mains appliance such as a radio or lamp does not have to be operating to produce an electric field - as long as it is plugged into a mains supply it will produce an electric field. However, it produces a magnetic field only when it is turned on and drawing a current.

EMF Units

Electric Fields	
Usually measured in volts per metre (V/m) Multiple used for large fields:	1 kilovolt per metre (kV/m) = 1,000 volts per metre
Magnetic Fields	
Usually measured in microteslas (μT) Multiple used for large fields: or small fields: Other units sometimes used	1 millitesla = 1,000 microteslas 1 nanotesla = 0.001 microteslas 1 milligauss = 0.1 microteslas

Instruments that measure field levels normally give an average value called the "root mean square".

Exposure

National Guidelines

The Government sets guidelines for exposure to EMFs in the UK on advice from the Health Protection Agency (HPA). In March 2004 the UK decided in principle to adopt the guidelines published by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP).

These guidelines also form the basis of a European Union Recommendation on public exposure and a Directive on occupational exposure.

The ICNIRP 'reference levels' for the public are:

100 microteslas for magnetic fields

5000 volts per metre for electric fields

Occupational reference levels are higher at 500 microteslas and 10,000 volts per metre.

These are the levels above which more investigation is needed; the permitted levels of exposure are somewhat higher. They are designed to ensure that EMFs do not interfere with nerves, but were set after examining all the evidence, including the evidence on cancer.

It is the policy of the electricity industry to follow independent exposure guidelines. The vast majority of exposures from the UK electricity system (and all exposures in homes) already comply with the ICNIRP guidelines.

The industry is talking with Government about the new guidelines, and is committed to taking whatever action is necessary as soon as Government decides the details of implementation.

Reference levels are thresholds for performing detailed investigations of compliance. The permitted levels are somewhat higher.

Typical Field Levels in the UK

Natural Sources

The earth's magnetic field, which everybody is constantly exposed to, is around 50 microteslas in the UK. The earth's electric field is usually around a hundred volts per metre, but thunderstorms can make it rise to many thousands. Both these natural fields are 0 hertz or static fields. All the other values given in this section are for 50 hertz fields.

Within the Home

Within our homes, all mains appliances produce fields. Appliances differ, but it is often the smaller, more compact appliances that produce the largest magnetic fields. The field is greatest close to the surface of the appliance and drops rapidly with distance, falling away substantially over the first metre from the appliance.

The table below shows the range of magnetic field strengths close to the appliance. Electric fields can be a few hundred volts per metre close to appliances.

Typical Magnetic Field Levels from Some Common Mains Appliances in the Home

	Magnetic Field (microteslas)	
	Close to appliances	1 metre away
Vacuum cleaner	800	2
TV, Washing Machine, Microwave	50	0.2
Bedside Clock	50	0.02
Fridge	2	0.01

Outside the home

Overhead Lines

Outside our homes, all overhead power lines produce fields. The fields are usually greatest directly under the lines and fall rapidly with distance to the sides of the line.

For small lines on wooden poles, the fields generally fall away over a few tens of metres. For larger lines on steel pylons, the distance is slightly greater.

Fields vary greatly from line to line and over time, and a line typically produces fields much less than the maximum it is capable of.

A line typically produces fields much less than the maximum it is capable of.

Typical Ground-level UK Field Levels from Overhead Power Lines

		Magnetic Field (microteslas)	Electric Field (volts per metre)
The largest steel pylons (275 kV and 400 kV)	Maximum field (under line)	100	11,000
	Typical field (under line)	5 - 10	3,000 - 5,000
	Typical field (25 m to side)	1 - 2	200 - 500
	Typical field (100 m to side)	0.05 - 0.1	10 - 40
Smaller steel pylons and largest wooden poles (132 kV)	Maximum field (under line)	40	4,000
	Typical field (under line)	0.5 - 2	1,000 - 2,000
	Typical field (25 m to side)	0.05 - 0.2	100 - 200
	Typical field (100 m to side)	0.01 - 0.04	2 - 20
Wooden poles (11 kV and 33 kV)	Maximum field (under line)	7	700
	Typical field (under line)	0.2 - 0.5	200
	Typical field (25 m to side)	0.01 - 0.05	10 - 20
	Typical field (100 m to side)	<0.01	<1

Further information is available from:

www.emfs.info/Source_overhead.asp

Underground Cables

High-voltage underground cables can produce higher magnetic fields directly above them than an overhead line would produce at ground level, because the physical distance from the underground cable is smaller. The field falls more rapidly with distance to the sides, and they produce no external electric field. Such cables are not normally located beneath buildings. Burying high-voltage lines does not produce any greater reliability as any faults are more difficult to locate and repair.

Substations

Small electricity distribution substations, typically one for every few hundred homes, generally produce up to 2 microteslas close to their perimeter fence or wall, and often no electric field at all. The fields fall rapidly with distance, and within 1 to 2 metres from a typical substation, the fields associated with it are usually indistinguishable from other fields present in homes. Larger electricity transmission substations do not produce very large fields themselves (generally less than a microtesla); the fields close by are mainly produced by power lines and cables entering them.

Average Magnetic Field Level

In the Home

In the vast majority of homes in the UK, the magnetic field, averaged over 24 hours, is between 0.01 and 0.2 microteslas, typically half the level in some other countries. In some homes it can be higher and in less than half a percent of UK homes the average level can be greater than 0.4 microteslas. Some of these homes are near power lines, but about half are not. Although no health risk has been established in these homes, there is particular interest in them because of the results of some scientific studies. This is discussed in more detail later in this guide.

It is actually easy to experience fields greater than 0.4 microteslas for short periods, close to an appliance or passing underneath a power line, but short exposures like these do not usually contribute much to the average field over a day.

In the Workplace

The occupations where exposure to fields has been investigated in greater detail tend to be those involving power workers. For instance, a typical worker in a UK power station experiences an average field of a few microteslas during working hours, and an electrician perhaps one microtesla. By contrast a typical office worker experiences about 0.2 microteslas.

In the vast majority of homes in the UK, the magnetic field is between 0.01 and 0.2 microteslas.

Potential Health Effects

Any suggestion of a risk to health must always be taken seriously. When considering issues of diseases and what causes them, it is important to look at what the scientific research reveals.

What Conditions have been Researched?

Most attention has focused on childhood cancer and leukaemia in particular. But other diseases including adult cancers, heart disease, Alzheimer's disease and depression have been examined, as has the incidence of suicide and miscarriage. "Electrosensitivity" involves conditions such as headaches, lethargy and depression.

There are three main types of research we do to try and find out whether EMFs cause disease.

Epidemiology

Epidemiology is the study of patterns of disease in populations. It searches for any statistical link or association between exposure to EMFs and disease in actual human populations. It was through such studies that concerns about EMFs were first raised in 1979

The strength of epidemiology is that it looks directly at human populations. However, all it can ever do is observe statistical associations. It can never completely eliminate all the many other factors that determine whether people develop diseases or not, and so it can never prove whether a particular disease is caused by EMFs or not.

Around 20 epidemiological studies have now been performed looking just at a possible link between childhood leukaemia and EMFs. Numerous other studies have looked at other diseases. Some of those studies found no association with magnetic fields, but some have found associations, and consequently research continues until a clearer picture can be achieved.

With electric fields, the position is clearer: there is very little evidence suggesting they are a cause of childhood cancer.

All these studies have been reviewed by the HPA and its conclusions are considered later in this guide.

Around 20 epidemiological studies have been performed looking at a possible link between childhood leukaemia and EMFs.

Theoretical

Theoretical research looks for a plausible mechanism that can demonstrate how the fields could interact with living systems.

Many theories have been put forward over the years, but no such mechanism has been established that would operate at the levels of field found in homes or near power lines, and this casts doubt on the existence of health effects.

Biological

An important test of any proposed health risk is biological research: laboratory research actually to observe the effects of EMFs on cells and tissue.

There have been many hundreds of these studies reported, and scientists examine them for robust results, which can be successfully repeated in different laboratories.

In over 20 years of research there have been no such reproducible results.

The evidence from the laboratory is that low level EMFs of the type experienced by the public do not cause the diseases that have been claimed.

In over 20 years of research there have been no such reproducible results.

Some Recent Important Research Results

The two biggest epidemiological studies of childhood cancer and EMFs that have been carried out so far, both come from the UK.

The United Kingdom Childhood Cancer Study (UKCCS)

The UKCCS was conducted during the 1990s. It looked at a number of suggested causes of childhood cancer including EMFs. Its particularly large study population -over 2000 cases of cancer in total, every case occurring in the UK over roughly a four-year period -made it very powerful.

In December 1999, the UKCCS published its first report, on exposure to magnetic fields, and concluded:

“This study provides no evidence that exposure to magnetic fields associated with the electricity supply in the UK increases the risk for childhood leukaemia, cancers of the nervous system, or any other childhood cancer.”

Subsequent UKCCS papers in 2000 and 2002 looked at children living close to power lines and at electric fields, in both cases reporting finding “no evidence” or “no support”.

The Childhood Cancer Research Group (CCRG)

This 2005 study, also known as the “Draper” study, looked at 33,000 cases of childhood cancer from 1962 to 1995 and the distance of their address at birth from the nearest 275 kV and 400 kV power line. It found an association between childhood leukaemia and these power lines (1.7-fold increase close to the lines, less further away). But this association extended too far (600 m) from the lines to be caused by magnetic fields. There is no simple explanation for this finding, and the paper concludes:

“We have no satisfactory explanation for our results in terms of causation by magnetic fields or association with other factors.”

'Pooled' Analysis

In 2000, an international group, led by Professor Anders Ahlbom from Sweden, took all the separate better-quality epidemiological studies of childhood leukaemia and magnetic fields and pooled the results, so that they could perform one single re-analysis of all the available data.

They found that, statistically, there was no significant evidence of any increased risk at the levels of magnetic field to which the overwhelming majority of children are exposed. The study did, however, find that in the category of homes with a field, averaged over 24 hours, of greater than 0.4 microteslas (which applies to fewer than half a percent of children in the UK), there is a statistical suggestion of a two-fold increased risk. Some of these homes are near power lines, but many are not.

A statistical finding like this may or may not reflect a real cause-and-effect relationship. It is unlikely to be due to chance, but it could be an artefact of the studies. The authors themselves concluded:

“The explanation for the elevated risk is unknown, but selection bias may have accounted for some of the increase.”

Nonetheless, this remains the strongest evidence yet of health effects of EMFs.

Conclusion

The UKCCS did not support EMFs causing cancer, but the pooled analysis did suggest an increased risk. The CCRG study reinforces a link between power lines and leukaemia, but suggests it may not be caused by EMFs.

Evidence from other research such as laboratory studies argues against any link. Looking at the totality of the evidence, scientists recognise the possibility of a risk for the relatively few children who receive the highest exposure to magnetic fields, but it is no more than a possibility.

A statistical finding like this may or may not reflect a real cause-and-effect relationship.

Any suggestion of a possible health risk is always taken seriously by the electricity industry. For this reason, the industry will continue to support high quality research.

The Words 'Risk' and 'Possible'

Nothing can ever be said to be '100% safe' or 'risk free'. Everything we do from the moment we get up to when we go to sleep has a 'risk' attached to it. Most of the risks we encounter in our day-to-day lives or we hear talked about are established or proven risks, where scientific evidence has reached firm conclusions. This enables us to decide - either as individuals or together as a society - on what actions to take in response to the risks.

With EMFs and risk the situation is different: no risk has been proven. Instead, EMFs are sometimes described as a 'possible' cause of cancer or a 'possible carcinogen'. The word 'possible' is used about all sorts of things in our lives. It does not mean that exposure to EMFs actually does pose a risk. It simply means that there is some evidence and scientists have not been able to rule out the possibility of a risk.

However, any suggestion of a possible health risk is always taken seriously by the electricity industry. For this reason, the industry will continue to support high quality research to help to gain a clearer picture of EMFs and to move closer to a final answer.

Corona Ions and Electric Fields

Scientists at Bristol University in the UK have suggested an alternative mechanism for health effects, involving tiny airborne "corona ions" produced by high-voltage power lines and their interaction with existing airborne pollutants. These physical processes do undoubtedly happen, but in 2004 the HPA's forerunner concluded:

"...it seems unlikely that corona ions would have more than a small effect on the long-term health risks associated with particulate air pollutants, even in the individuals who are most affected."

Microshocks

The electric field beneath a power line charges up objects, and sometimes, if you touch a metal object, you can receive a small one-off "microshock", similar to the shock you sometimes get after walking on a nylon carpet. This can be disconcerting but has no known long-term effect and is not regarded as harmful.

The National and International View

In the UK, it is to the HPA and their forerunner the National Radiological Protection Board (NRPB) that both Government and industry look for advice. In March 2004, the NRPB published a comprehensive review of the science on EMFs. For the key issue of childhood leukaemia, they talk about the difficulties with some of the studies and say:

“The epidemiological evidence is currently not strong enough to justify a firm conclusion...”, but also: “Nevertheless, the possibility remains that intense and prolonged exposures to magnetic fields can increase the risk of leukaemia in children...”

Another key conclusion is:

“There is little evidence to suggest...that raised cancer risks of other types, in children and adults, might arise as a result of exposure to ELF [extremely low frequency] magnetic fields...The findings from studies of health outcomes other than cancer have generally been inconsistent or difficult to interpret.”

They then note:

“The results of epidemiological studies...cannot be used as a basis for the derivation of quantitative restrictions on exposure to EMFs.”

These views echo the international consensus. For example, in June 2001, the International Agency for Research on Cancer, IARC, which is an agency of the World Health Organization, published an authoritative opinion on the carcinogenicity of EMFs. IARC classified extremely low frequency magnetic fields as ‘possibly’ a cause of cancer, on the basis of ‘inadequate’ epidemiological evidence for most types of cancer and ‘inadequate’ evidence in animals, but ‘limited’ epidemiological evidence for childhood leukaemia. For electric fields, IARC said all the evidence was ‘inadequate’.

One report, by three scientists working for California Department of Health Services, says it is “inclined to believe” EMFs increase the risk of several diseases, but this is clearly out of line with the international consensus.

The results of epidemiological studies cannot be used as a basis for quantitative restrictions on exposure to EMFs.

The UK Electricity Industry Policy

Health

The UK electricity industry takes any suggestion of a risk to health extremely seriously. The industry believes that the final decision about what constitutes a safe level of exposure should be made by an independent body. It is committed to follow the guidance given by the Government, advised by the HPA, on safe levels of exposure and carries out all its operations within the relevant exposure levels.

In 2004, the electricity industry initiated discussions on possible precautionary measures for EMFs. One example is whether there should be restrictions on building homes close to power lines; no such restrictions currently exist. These discussions gave rise to SAGE, the Stakeholder Advisory Group on ELF EMFs, which includes all stakeholders – citizen groups alongside industry and Government. When SAGE reports, it will be for Government to consider the report and decide what measures, if any, to introduce in the interests of society as a whole.

Because the electricity industry takes public concern seriously, it has a dedicated EMF Unit to assist the public and to provide further information, including, if appropriate, home visits and measurement of fields.

Research

The electricity industry is committed to supporting high-quality research to help get closer to a final answer on the EMF issue. For example, the UK Childhood Cancer Study received over £4 million from the industry to enable it to look at EMFs in their study, though the conduct of the study was rigorously independent of the industry. Similarly, one of the electricity companies, National Grid, funds an independent Research Trust to support the very best quality biological research.

In addition, the industry has supported and continues to support numerous other studies, and its own staff carry out research into aspects of exposure to EMFs. National Grid provided the data on power lines that made the CCRG study possible. It is a condition of all the research supported by the industry that the results should be published openly in reputable peer-reviewed, scientific journals.

Amenity

All power lines comply with the Government's requirements. However, it is worth noting that normal good practice in planning new high-voltage lines ensures that they are kept as far away from existing homes as possible, simply on grounds of amenity. Subsequent new housebuilding, however, may bring homes into closer proximity to these lines.

It is a condition of all the research supported by the industry that the results should be published openly.

Notes

Further Information

For further information you can contact

- The Energy Networks Association website:
www.energynetworks.org
- National Grid information site on EMFs:
www.emfs.info
- The EMF Unit Public Information Line
can be contacted on **0845 702 3270**
- Your local electricity distribution company
- The HPA website: **www.hpa.org.uk/radiation**
or telephone them on **01235 831 600**

EMFs The Facts was produced by:


**Energy Networks Association
18 Stanhope Place
Marble Arch
London W2 2HH**

Company registered in
England & Wales, No. 4832301

Issued January 2007



electric and magnetic fields



the facts update - april 2007

SAGE

The Stakeholder Advisory Group on ELF EMFs (SAGE) released its First Interim Assessment of possible precautionary measures for EMFs in April 2007.

SAGE is the group, made up of the whole range of stakeholders in the EMF debate, that has been considering possible precautionary measures in order to provide advice to Government. It is equally funded by Government, the electricity industry, and the charity Children with Leukaemia.

The Assessment considers a number of general issues such as the existing scientific evidence and different factors to weigh up when considering possible actions to take. It considers magnetic fields should be reduced “as low as reasonably achievable”, and that cost-benefit analysis is an important way of helping decide what is “reasonable”.

Some houses have high magnetic fields, above 0.4 μT , even though they are not near a power line. SAGE identifies that house wiring can be a significant source of EMFs. It recommends a package of measures that could be applied quite cheaply when houses are built or rewired and which should stop high magnetic fields from being produced by the wiring. (The same package could be applied retrospectively to existing homes but at greater cost, and SAGE recommends that applying it to existing homes should be optional.)

SAGE also recommends that manufacturers should consider ways of reducing fields from domestic electrical appliances.

On power lines, SAGE makes two Recommendations. These are that more information should be provided to home owners, and that electricity companies be encouraged to design their power lines in a way (“transposed phasing”, which is already in extensive use) that reduces the magnetic fields.

The SAGE Assessment then describes an Option, introducing “corridors” round power lines where building new homes or schools would not be allowed. It is described as an “option” because SAGE participants differed over whether it should be introduced, and SAGE does not recommend it. SAGE conducts a detailed analysis of the pros and cons, and concludes that, on the view of the science adopted by the World Health Organization and by the UK’s independent scientific advisors the Health Protection Agency, the costs of introducing this option outweigh the benefits, in terms of reducing any possible risk for childhood leukaemia, so much that it is not in society’s interest to introduce corridors. (The costs arise from the loss of use of the strip of land affected around power lines and devaluation of existing homes and are estimated at around several billion pounds.)

To conclude that this option should be introduced, either some other basis has to be used to argue for it despite the costs, or an alternative view of the science (labelled by SAGE as the “California” view) has to be adopted, whereby magnetic fields are considered to cause a range of adverse health effects.

What Happens Next?

SAGE's First Interim Assessment contains advice to Government. It is only Government who can decide which measures to introduce, because the issues affect the whole of society; unless and until Government decide to change policy, UK policy on EMFs remains based round compliance with the international exposure guidelines, and there are no restrictions on building homes close to power lines, as long as basic safety clearances are maintained.

The Electricity Industry View of SAGE

The electricity industry was instrumental in setting up SAGE and we have supported it throughout. We consider a forum like this, where stakeholders with different views and opinions can meet and discuss the issues sensibly is very valuable and is greatly preferable to the alternative of confrontation and argument.

The industry welcomes the clarity the SAGE Assessment brings and the objective appraisal of the various options. Where there are relatively easy and low-cost ways of reducing fields, it makes sense to adopt these on a precautionary basis, and we support these Recommendations that SAGE makes.

It is in the interests of society as whole, however, that any measures are proportionate. Authoritative bodies such as WHO and HPA who have examined the scientific evidence conclude that it is principally in the context of the possibility of a risk for childhood leukaemia that we should be considering precautionary measures. The thorough SAGE analysis shows that, on this basis, introducing "corridors" around power lines would not be a proportionate response; it would cause more damage to society than any benefit it might bring.

Further information

The SAGE web site is at: <http://www.rkpartnership.co.uk/sage/>

More information on the Assessment and the options it considers are on the industry website at: www.emfs.info/sage

The SAGE Assessment is mainly concerned with these issues as they affect society as a whole. If you are individually affected by these issues, you can contact the electricity industry EMF helpline on 0845 7023270.